1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 BORNSTEIN SEAFOODS, INC., CASE NO. C21-0022JLR 10 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION TO 11 COMPEL v. 12 CITY OF BELLINGHAM, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 Before the court is Plaintiff Bornstein Seafoods, Inc.'s ("Bornstein") motion to 15 compel Defendant the Port of Bellingham ("the Port") to "fully respond to all requests for 16 production that it is currently refusing . . . to respond to." (Mot. (Dkt. # 42); see also 17 18 Reply (Dkt. # 50).) The Port opposes the motion. (Resp. (Dkt. # 46).) The court heard oral argument from the parties on Wednesday, June 1, 2022. (See 6/1/22 Min. Entry 19 20 (Dkt. # 52).) For the reasons stated on the record during the June 1, 2022 hearing, the 21 court ORDERS as follows: 22

1	1.	Bornstein's motion to compel (Dkt # 42) is DENIED;
2	2.	Bornstein's and the Port's requests for sanctions (see Mot. at 10-12; Resp.
3	at 12) are DENIED;	
4	3.	Bornstein and the Port are ORDERED to meet and confer, by no later than
5	June 14, 2022, regarding the following topics with a goal of reaching compromise:	
6		a. The geographical scope of discovery;
7		b. The hazardous substances within the scope of discovery;
8		c. The scope of discovery, if any, regarding the commercial and
9		residential entities on the Bellwether Peninsula; and
10		d. To the extent they are still in dispute, a reasonable set of custodians
11		and search terms for the Port to use when searching for responsive
12		communications; 1
13	4.	If Bornstein and the Port are unable to reach agreement on the topics listed
14	above, they	are ORDERED to file, by no later than June 24, 2022, a joint statement
15	setting forth	each party's arguments regarding the remaining issues in dispute, focusing
16	on issues of	relevance and proportionality. ² See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1); and
17	//	
18	//	
19	//	
20	1 The	parties represented at oral argument that the Port is currently running email
21		d on a set of 100 search terms provided by Bornstein.
22		ornstein and the Port reach agreement on the scope of discovery, they shall file a not to that effect by no later than June 24, 2022.

5. The court will set a hearing to address any outstanding disputes regarding the scope of discovery and any necessary amendments to the case schedule (including the deadline for disclosure of expert testimony) after it reviews the parties' joint statement. Dated this 1st day of June, 2022. ~ R. Plut JAMĖS L. ROBART United States District Judge